



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,959	07/08/2003	Camellia W. Adams	P1661R2C1	7129	
9157	7590 04/20/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GENENTECH, INC.			BLANCHARD, DAVID J		
1 DNA WA	AY AN FRANCISCO,  CA   940	080	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
50011151	nvilantoisee, eri yte		1642		
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/200	DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/614,959	ADAMS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		David J. Blanchard	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion in the complex of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will.	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered time  NTHS from the mailing date of this of  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 23-42 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 23-26,32,33,36 and 37 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 27 and 38 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 28-31,34,35 and 39-42 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	t(s) ee of References Cited (PTO-892) ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/8/2003</u> .	8) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)			

Art Unit: 1642

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The preliminary amendment filed 7/8/2003 has been entered in full.
- 2. Claims 23-42 are pending and under examination.

## Specification

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. It is requested that applicant update the priority information in the first line of the specification with the patent number for parent Application No. 09/383,667 filed on August 26, 1999. The patent number is 6,624,295.
- b. This application appears to be a division of Application No. 09/383,667, filed August 26, 1999. A later application for a distinct or independent invention, carved out of a pending application and disclosing and claiming only subject matter disclosed in an earlier or parent application is known as a divisional application or "division." The divisional application should set forth the portion of the earlier disclosure that is germane to the invention as claimed in the divisional application. Accordingly, applicant should update the priority information on the first line of the specification to indicate that the instant application is a divisional of parent Application No. 09/383,667.
- c. The use of the trademark Dynabeads® has been noted in this application (see page 55). It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,959 Page 3

Art Unit: 1642

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner, which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

d. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 23-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are indefinite for reciting "IcCDR1" and "IcCDR2" and "IcCDR3" in claim 23 because it is unclear what is meant by the "Ic" designation. The claim recites that the CDRs of SEQ ID Nos:13-15 are part of a light chain variable region and it is unclear what is meant by "Ic" or what is added by the "Ic" designation. Applicant may overcome this rejection by removing the "Ic" designation in claim 23.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1642

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 27 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The Written Description Guidelines for examination of patent applications indicates, "the written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice, or by disclosure of relevant, identifying characteristics, i.e., structure or other physical characteristics and/or other chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show applicant was in possession of the claimed genus." (Federal register, Vol. 66, No. 4, pages 1099-1111, Friday January 5, 2001, see especially page 1106 column 3) and (see MPEP 2164).

The claims are drawn to an antibody or antigen-binding fragment thereof that binds a factor IX/IXa Gla domain and comprises the recited heavy and light chain CDR sequences and further comprises a thrombolytic agent. Therefore, the claims encompass a genus of "agents" defined solely by its principal biological property (i.e., thrombolytic), which is simply a wish to know the identity of any material with that biological property. Conception does not occur unless

Art Unit: 1642

one has a mental picture of the structure of the molecule, or is able to define it by its method of preparation, its physical or chemical properties, or whatever characteristics sufficiently distinguish it. <a href="Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar">Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar</a>, 19 USPQ2d 1111, makes clear that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (see page 1117). The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (see Vas-Cath at page 1116).

Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it. See <u>Fiers v. Revel</u>, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (CAFC 1993) and <u>Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.</u>, 18 USPQ2d 1016.

One cannot describe what one has not conceived. See <u>Fiddles v.Baird</u>, 30 USPQ2d 1481, 1483. In <u>Fiddles v. Baird</u>, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found unpatentable due to lack of written description for the broad class. The specification provided only the bovine sequence. Thus, the specification fails to describe these DNA sequences. The Court further elaborated that generic statements are not adequate written description of the genus because it does not distinguish the claimed genus from others, except by function.

Per the Enzo court's example, (Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Gen-Probe Inc., 63 USPQ2d 1609 (CA FC 2002) at 1616) of a description of an anti-inflammatory

Art Unit: 1642

steroid, i.e., a steroid (a generic structural term) couched "in terms of its function of lessening inflammation of tissues" which, the court stated, "fails to distinguish any steroid from others having the same activity or function" and the expression "an antibiotic penicillin" fails to distinguish a particular penicillin molecule from others possessing the same activity and which therefore, fails to satisfy the written description requirement. Similarly, a "thrombolytic agent" does not distinguish a particular "thrombolytic agent" from others having the same activity or function and as such does not satisfy the written-description requirement. Applicant has not disclosed any relevant, identifying characteristics, such as structure or other physical and/or chemical properties, sufficient to show possession of the claimed genus. Mere idea or function is insufficient for written description; isolation and characterization at a minimum are required. A description of what a material does, rather than what it is, usually does not suffice. *Eli Lilly*, 119 F.3d at 1568, 43 USPQ2d at 1406.

In the absence of structural characteristics that are shared by members of the genus of a "thrombolytic agent"; one of skill in the art would reasonably conclude that the disclosure fails to provide a representative number of species to describe the genus. Thus, Applicant was not in possession of the claimed genus. See <u>University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co.</u> 119 F.3d 1559, 43 USPQ2d 1398 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

Application/Control Number: 10/614,959 Page 7

Art Unit: 1642

### Conclusion

8. Wojcik et al (Biochim Biophys Acta, 1382(1):91-101, 15 January 1998) is the closest prior art, however, Wojcik et al do not teach or fairly suggest an antifactor IX antibody comprising the heavy and light chain CDR sequences of the instant claims.

- 9. No claim is allowed.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Blanchard whose telephone number is (571) 272-0827. The examiner can normally be reached at Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM, with alternate Fridays off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew, can be reached at (571) 272-0787. The official fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully, David J. Blanchard 571-272-0827

PRIMARY EXAMINER